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The challenge: STIR/SHAKEN implementation

Standards

Implementation
The Challenger: OpenSIPS

OpenSIPS is a well known, versatile SIP Server

- Highly customizable / programmable
- Feature rich (155 modules)
- High throughput
The Reason: OpenSIPS versatility

OpenSIPS implements various SIP components, where STIR/SHAKEN may be needed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Authenticate</th>
<th>Verify</th>
<th>Inspect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class 4&amp;5 Switches</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBC</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier LB/FrontEnd</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunking</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Approach: Divide et Impera

Or let’s do some breakdown of the “big STIR/SHAKEN picture”

Centralized authority for issuing and signing all the certificates
The mechanism for exchanging the certificates between the STIR/SHAKEN players
Sign or verify the payload with the correct certificate
Collect/extract the data, pack/unpack, encode/decode and interact with the SIP stack

Certificate Issuing
Certificate Managing
Certificate Usage
Passport Handling

STIR / SHAKEN
The Result

Certificate Issuing
Certificate Managing
Certificate Usage
Passport Handling

Implementation
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Usage Models
Isolate the Uncertainties...

The Certificate Managing is the unclear part:

- Will the certificates be identified by HTTP URL?
- Will it be expected to download certificates via HTTP?
- Will the certificate exchange be done in realtime / ondemand?
- Will each operator be responsible for building the exchange infrastructure?
... and Secure the Certainties

The current level of standardization gives solid grounds for:

- Building the passport
- Signing / Verifying the passport
- SIP handling
Usage Models

- Certificate Managing
- Certificate Usage
- Passport Handling

Certificate Self-Managing Model
Certificate Agnostic Model
Usage Models

- **Certificate agnostic** (or external handling) – other sub-systems in the platform/service are responsible for providing the required certificate for each call;
- **Certificate self managing** – OpenSIPS is performing the certificate managing also, via its own mechanisms of fetching and storing the required certificates.
The Agnostic Model

The implementation is not aware of how the Certificates are managed

- The certificates are in local storage (like DB or files)
- There is a predefined mapping between operators and their certificates
- Static, pre-operational exchanged, nothing realtime
- Off-band exchange
The Self-Managing Model

OpenSIPS takes care of the Certificate Managing:

- **Upon Authentication:** based on calling number, identify the proper certificate to use (through its own certificate repository)
- **Upon Verification:** OpenSIPS fetches the certificate by itself and implements its own caching mechanism
Implementation details

- The agnostic model is provided by a new “stir_shaken” module in OpenSIPS.
- The self-managing part is just OpenSIPS scripting, to fetch certificates via HTTP(s) (using the “rest_client” module) and perform local caching (using the “cachedb_local” module).
STIR_SHAKEN module

- developed by Vlad Pătrașcu
- is public and open-source
- support for attaching Identity headers:
  - directly to an INVITE
  - as a 302 redirect
The STIR/SHAKEN verification is done internally, by main SIP server.
Integration Models - external

- Microservices
- 3-party services

OpenSIPS

INVITE (1)
+Identity

INVITE (2)

302 reply (3)

INVITE (4)
;verstat=OK

Originating Service Provider

The STIR/SHAKEN verification is done here
Usage Samples
Authorization

INVITE

SIP UA

INVITE +Identity

OpenSIPS

ITSP

Terminating Service Provider
Authorization: opensips.cfg

loadmodule "stir_shaken.so"

$var(rc) = stir_shaken_auth("A", "$var(oid)", "$var(cert)", "$var(pkey)", "https://cert.example.org/passport.cer"[, "$var(orig)", "$var(dest)""]);
if ($var(rc) < 0) {
    xlog("stir_shaken_auth() failed with $var(rc)\n");
    send_reply(500, "Server Internal Error");
    exit;
}
Verification

INVITE
+Identity

Originating Service Provider

OpenSIPS

INVITE
;verstat=OK

ITSP

SIP UA
Verification: opensips.cfg

loadmodule "stir_shaken.so"
modparam("stir_shaken", "ca_list", "/etc/pki/opensips/passport.cer")

$var(rc) = stir_shaken_verify("$var(cert)", $var(code), $var(reason));
if ($var(rc) < 0) {
    xlog("stir_shaken_verify() failed: $var(rc), $var(code), $var(reason));
    send_reply($var(code), $var(reason));
    exit;
}
| Inspection | $identity(header) | $identity(x5u) | $identity(payload) | $identity(attest) | $identity(origid) | $identity(dest) | $identity(orig) | $identity(iat) |
|------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|

The Risks
Assessment

SIP specific risks:

- Network risks

STIR/SHAKEN specific risks, derived from the Certificate Managing side (valid only for the verification part):

- Performance risks
- Security risks
Network Risks - UDP

The passport is quite large leading to large SIP packages.

Over UDP protocol

- max 65K, but usual MTU 1.5K
- => fragmentation

Risks:

- Losing fragments on the way
- Unable to re-assemble
Network Risks - TCP

Over TCP protocol

- No limit as payload

Risks

- Bottleneck at TCP conn level
- Performance issue at OS and application layers
Performance Risks

An *on-demand certificate download* introduces a time penalty of 10x compared to the SIP call setup.

Besides the huge impact on the PDD, the problem may escalate, due the multi-processes architecture of OpenSIPS (tens of calls are handled in parallel).

Definitely there is a need to use the async support (when fetching the certificate) to avoid blocking.
PDD degradation

HTTP server

INVITE +Identity

T + Δ1

T + Δ1 + Δ2

INVITE

PSTN

Δ1 ≈ 10⁻¹⁰⁻¹⁰⁰ms
Δ2 ≈ 10⁰⁻¹⁰⁰⁰us
Security Risks

There are no ways to verify and trust the HTTP URLs provided by passports.

You need to fetch the certificate (follow the HTTP link) before validating the content 😞.

- Malicious URL attacks (DOS)
- DDOS attacks
Security Risks - DOS

Malicious HTTP URLs presented in the passport

INVITE

INVITE

PSTN
Many operators are flooded with passports pointing to an attacked URL.
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