

Notes of UA config conference call 2010-04-12, 16.00-17.00 GMT+1

Participants: Scott Lawrence, Rifaat Shekh-Yusef, Michael Procter, Richard Shockey, Marc Robins, John Elwell.

1. IETF last call on draft-lawrence-sipforum-user-agent-config-00

- Optionality of providing a URL for subscribing to the event package

Scott explained the essence of comments received on the IETF discuss list on this topic. There had been concern that in some scenarios the service provider is more concerned about avoiding impact on the server (e.g., maintaining state over restarts), rather than the ability to do prompt updates. Also keeping NATs alive had been expressed as a concern (although that might be marginal, given it is solved for SIP anyway).

Scott had sent a proposal to the list on 2010-04-09, whereby the CS can choose whether it wants the UA to establish a subscription to the event package or to use HTTP polling. A number of individuals had expressed support for this on the list. The call agreed to adopt the proposal in principle.

- Other issues

Some of the other issues raised on the IETF Discuss list had been dealt with through explanations by posted by Scott. A few issues will require some editorial changes to the I-D.

- Next steps

Scott will post a new draft to the UA-config list, today or tomorrow and will allow 48 hours for comments on this list before posting to the IETF (and following up with an explanation of what has changed).

** Action: Scott to post new draft to UA-config list and after 48 hours to IETF.

** Action: All to review review proposal and send back comments WITH TEXT PROPOSALS.

2. Submission of draft-lawrence-dispatch-sipforum-provider-alias to DISPATCH

- Discussion to date

There had been some discussion on the DISPATCH list as to why we are not using ITADs, but this had been answered.

- What do we want DISPATCH to do?

Ideally we would like the document to go through the AD-sponsored route, which is likely to be far quicker. Unfortunately, this might not be considered appropriate, so we have to be prepared to fall back to a mini-WG.

- Next steps

** Action: Scott to send a query to the DISPATCH chairs, asking whether AD-sponsored would be feasible, and if the answer is no, asking what our next steps should be (e.g., draft a charter for a mini-WG).

3. Status of dependencies

- HTTP monitor (draft-roach-sip-http-subscribe). Scott is the document shepherd, and the sponsoring AD is Alexey Melnikov. In RFC Editor's queue.

- HTTP Link header (draft-nottingham-http-link-header). The sponsoring AD is Alexey Melnikov. In IESG evaluation.

- Service tags registration (draft-sipforum-ua-config).
Now listed as "Dead" - no longer relevant.

4. Establishing the registry

- This work will continue in parallel with the work in DISPATCH. There is a BoD meeting on 2010-04-20, when Richard hopes to obtain go-ahead for seeking proposals from potential registry providers and/or statements of interest from service providers.

5. Marketing

- The press release is on hold, because of the change in direction. It was agreed that we should plan on issuing a press release once draft-lawrence-sipforum-user-agent-config reaches the RFC Editor's Queue, and that Marc should now start to prepare a revision in anticipation of this.

** Action: Marc to prepare revised press release.

6. Presentation

- It was noted that the presentation will need updating to reflect draft-lawrence-sipforum-user-agent-config-01.

** Action: Scott to update presentation.

7. Web site.

- We should update the web site to reflect the submission of drafts to the IETF.

** Action: Scott to propose changes to the web site (will not be done until after 01 has been submitted).

8. Next call

- Same time next week, unless determined not to be needed.

John