

SIP Forum UA Config WG
Notes of UA config conference call
2009-07-13,16.00-17.00 BST

Participants: Scott Lawrence, Andy Hutton, Michael Procter, John Elwell

Apology: Sumanth Channabasappa

Agenda:

1. Actions from previous calls:

- Scott to kick off discussion on SIPPING list, regarding alignment with current profile. Sumanth will discuss with config framework design team first, but first need a draft with aligned terminology. 2009-06-29:

Scott still trying to understand the present SIPPING procedures and will have call with Sumanth tomorrow.

Sumanth and Scott agreed that we first need to check with DNS directorate on what we propose to do. Sumanth is doing that.

* Action: Sumanth to check with DNS directorate.

- Scott to try to take account of comments from Henning and seek clarification where necessary.

Ongoing.

- All to review v0.10 in detail. DONE

- Michael and Sumanth to speak to other people to get information on deployment and use of DDDS.

Ongoing.

- John to talk to Mary Barnes concerning timescales for getting sipping-config-framework approved. In fact John identified the relevant AD (Robert Sparks) and obtained input from him. The document is currently in external review (in the ops area), and he is trying to get it moving, although progress through the IESG will not be entirely smooth. We can expect a further update this week - DONE.

- Andy to propose text on outbound proxy issue / Scott to capture. Andy proposed something, although that might be moot. DONE.

- Scott to investigate and respond to Francois' comment on Diffserv.

Scott has talked to Francois, who agreed you don't necessarily need to do this before getting config. So editorial comment in draft can be removed. DONE.

- Scott to take DDDS proposal on board.

Ongoing.

- Andy to propose text on data items. Ongoing - clarified that it would be acceptable to copy from datasets document.

- Scott to send out v0.11.
Will do this week.

2. Issues from list

No specific issues from list, but Scott mentioned draft-roach-sip-http-subscribe-02, which specifies a generic SIP event package for subscribing to changes to an HTTP resource. This would do the same job as the event package in the sipping-config-framework, but would be simpler and of more general applicability. Furthermore, there might be potential for getting the Roach draft approved as an RFC a lot quicker (e.g., by progressing as an individual draft) than the sipping-config-framework, which still has some hurdles to overcome.

Other people on the call expressed support, although it would need to be put to the wider ua-config list to seek consensus before changing direction in this way.

It was noted that this would have only a relatively small impact on our own draft. It might also steer us to removing the SIP-first (as opposed to HTTPS-first) option in our draft, because the SIP-first option seems to depend on some in-built magic in SIP servers to handle this specific event package before the device has received its proper configuration, whereas with a generic event package we cannot expect any special treatment. There was in any case some nervousness from individuals concerning the SIP-first option.

Whichever route we take, we would still need to update the DNS registry to accommodate our use of DDDS, which would need to be run past the DNS directorate, but should be fairly quick and painless.

* Michael to do technical write-up, including simplification by removing SIP-first option. Then, if we get consensus among the key participants on our list, John can try to push further in terms of procedural aspects.

* John to write privately to Adam to give him advance warning of possible interest in his draft for ua-config purposes.

3. Review of v.11

Not yet available - will produce on this week.

4. Next call (2009-07-20, same time).

John